

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
SPARTANBURG DIVISION
CIVIL ACTION NO.: _____**

Terria Holcomb, as Personal Represenative)
For the Estate of Darius L.J. Holcomb,)
)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
)
vs.)
)
Spartanburg County, Spartanburg County)
Sheriff's Office, Officer Wesley E. Bennett)
both individually and in his capacity as a)
deputy of the Spartanburg County Sheriff's)
Office and Chuck Wright, in his capacity as)
the Sheriff of the Spartanburg County)
Sheriff's Office,)
)
)
Defendants.)

**RESPONSES TO LOCAL RULE 26.01
INTERROGATORIES**

Pursuant to Local Rule 26.01, these Defendants, Spartanburg County, Spartanburg County Sheriff's Office and Sheriff Chuck Wright, hereby answer the following Interrogatories:

(A) State the full name, address and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of said interest.

RESPONSE: None at this time, nor expected.

(B) As to each claim, state whether it should be tried jury or non-jury and why.

RESPONSE: All claims should be tried by a jury because Plaintiff and these Defendants have demanded a trial by jury and the claims are triable by a jury.

(C) State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly owned company and separately identify: (1) each publicly owned company of which it is parent, subsidiary, partner or affiliate; (2) each publicly owned company which owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares or other indicia of ownership of the party; and (3) each publicly owned company in which the party owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares.

RESPONSE: No.

(D) State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division) See Local Civil Rule 3.01.

RESPONSE: Plaintiff originally filed in State Court (Common Pleas) and this action was removed by these Defendants due to Plaintiff's allegations that Defendants violated federal statutes and constitutional law. These Defendants deny said allegations. The substantial part of the events giving rise to the allegations of the Plaintiff's Complaint occurred in Spartanburg County.

(E) Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this District, whether civil or criminal? If so, provide: (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action.

RESPONSE: No.

(F) If the Defendant is improperly identified, give the proper identification and state whether counsel will accept service of an amended summons and pleadings reflecting the correct identification.

RESPONSE: On information and belief, these Defendants are properly identified.

(G) If you contend that some other person or legal entity is, in whole or in part, liable to you or the party asserting a claim against you in this matter, identify such person or entity and describe the basis of their liability.

RESPONSE: These Defendants are not aware of any additional parties who should be named as Defendants in this case at this time.

(H) In an action in which jurisdiction is based on diversity under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), a party or intervenor must, unless the court orders otherwise, name – and identify the citizenship of – every individual or entity whose citizenship is attributed to that party or intervenor. This response must be supplemented when any later event occurs that could affect the court's jurisdiction under § 1332(a).

RESPONSE: Not Applicable.

Willson Jones Carter & Baxley, P.A.

s/Charles F. Turner, Jr.

Charles F. Turner, Jr. (Fed. ID 05849)
325 Rocky Slope Road, Suite 201
Greenville, South Carolina 29607
Email: cfturner@wjcblaw.com
(864) 672-3711(phone)
(864) 373-7055(fax)

*Attorney for Defendants Spartanburg
County, Spartanburg County Sheriff's
Office and Sheriff Chuck Wright*

Spartanburg, SC
March 6, 2024